#### RESOLUTION NO. 91-29

A RESOLUTION OF THE LODI CITY COUNCIL
APPROVING THE CITY OF LODI'S REVISED 1989-90
TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT CLAIM FOR
LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS

WHEREAS, on September 6, 1989, the Lodi City Council adopted Resolution No. 89-115 approving the City's 1989-90 Transportation Development Act (TDA) claim for Local Transportation Funds (LTF), and State Transit Assistance (STA); and

WHEREAS, subsequent to approval of the City's 1989-90 TDA Claim by the San Joaquin County Council of Governments, the Council of Governments advised the City that they wished to have the Claim revised and resubmitted to more clearly reflect how the City actually used the funds received;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Lodi City Council hereby approves the City of Lodi's revised 1989-90 Transportation Development Act (TDA) claim in the amount of \$1,778,790, which transfers \$4,927 of STA funds from Transit purposes to Streets and Roads purposes; and which transfers \$4,927 of Local Transportation Funds from Streets and Roads to Transit purposes.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Lodi City Council does hereby authorize the City Manager to execute the revised Claim on behalf of the City of Lodi.

Dated: February 20, 1991

\_\_\_\_\_\_

I hereby certify that Resolution No. 91-29 was passed and adopted by the City Council of the City of Lodi in a regular meeting held February 20, 1991 by the following vote:

Ayes: Council Members - Pennino, Pinkerton, Sieglock, Snider

and Hinchman (Mayor)

Noes: Council Members - None

Absent: Council Members - None

Alice M. Reimche

City Clerk

#### FISCAL YEAR 1989-90

SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY COG
TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT
CLAIM FORMS AND GUIDELINES

FOR

STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE FUND (STA)

AND

LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND (LTF - TDA)

## LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND

TO:	San Joaquin County Count 1860 East Hazelton Aven Stockton, CA 95205	cil of Governments ue
FROM:	Applicant: City of	Lodi
	Address: 221 W. Pine St. (City, Zip)	, P. O. Box 3006, Lodi, CA 95241-1910
	Contact Person: Jerry L	. Glenn Phone: 209/333-6700
		nt City Manager
regula	tions, that its annual t	hereby requests, in accordance 1971 and applicable rules and transportation claim be approved in for fiscal year 1989-90, to be ation Fund.
for particular for pa	ayment. Approval of the or to this applicant is svailable for distribution	t this claim to the County Auditor e claim and payment by the County subject to such monies being on hand n, and to the provisions that such accordance with the terms of the
and that and a foreign claims	he financial information accurate to the best mentioned information i	his Local Transportation Fund claim contained therein, is reasonable of my knowledge, and that the indicates the eligibility of this fiscal year of the application and 6734.
APPRO	/ED:	Applicant: CITY OF LODI
	paquin County Council overnments	Signed: Thomas A Peterson
Ву:	PETER D. VERDOORN	Title:  Date: 7 cb . 21 19 91
Title	: Executive Director	Date: <u>Jew. 2</u> 19 <u>9</u> /
Date:	19	

# LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND

TO:	San Joaquin County Count 1860 East Hazelton Avenu Stockton, CA 95205	
FROM:	Applicant: City of I	Lodi
	Address: 221 W. Pine St. (City, Zip)	, P. O. Box 3006, Lodi, CA 95241-1910
	Contact Person: Jerry L	. Glenn Phone: 209/333-6700
		nt City Manager
regulathe am	Chapter 1400, Statutes tions, that its annual t	hereby requests, in accordance 1971 and applicable rules and ransportation claim be approved in for fiscal year 1989-90, to be ation Fund.
for paragraph Audito and available monies	yment. Approval of the r to this applicant is stailable for distribution	t this claim to the County Auditor claim and payment by the County ubject to such monies being on hand n, and to the provisions that such accordance with the terms of the
and thand a aforem	ne financial information ccurate to the best mentioned information i	nis Local Transportation Fund claim contained therein, is reasonable of my knowledge, and that the ndicates the eligibility of this fiscal year of the application and 6734.
APPROV	ED:	Applicant: CITY OF LODI
	paquin County Council	Signed: Thos. a. Situson
01 00	Vermenes	Name: <u>Thomas A. Peterson</u>
Ву:	PETER D. VERDOORN	City Manager Title:
m: Lla	Executive Director	Date: <u>feb. 21</u> 19 <u>91</u>
TTCT6:	EXECULTAE DITECTOR	
Date:	19	

## STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE CLAIM

TO:	San Joaquin County Count 1860 East Hazelton Aven Stockton, CA 95205	
FROM:	Applicant: City of	Lodi
	Address: 221 W. Pine St., (City, Zip)	P. O. Box 3006, Lodi, CA 95241-1910
	Contact Person: Jerry L Assista	. Glenn Phone: 209/333-6700 nt City Manager
the Pu Chapte and re \$ 4,9 State	ublic Utilities Code, her 1400, Statutes of 197 egulations, that an all 27 for fiscal Transit Assistance trust	uant to Section 99203 and 99315 of ereby requests, in accordance with 1 as amended, and applicable rules ocation be made in the amount of year 1989-90, to be drawn from the fund of San Joaquin County for the following respective amounts:
I	Purposes	Amounts
S	treets and Roads	\$4,927
The c Claim reason afore claim	only in accordance with the laimant certifies that and the financial inable and accurate to the mentioned information	provisions that such monies will be the terms of the approved claim. this State Transit Assistance Fund nformation contained herein, is best of my knowledge, and that the indicates the eligibility of this fiscal year of the application and 6734.
APPRO	VED:	Applicant: CITY OF LODI
	oaquin County Council overnments	Signed: Thomas A. Peterson
ву:	PETER D. VERDOORN	Title: City Manager  Date: Feb. 21 1991
Title	: Executive Director	
Date:	19	

# STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE CLAIM

TO:	San Joaquin County Cour 1860 East Hazelton Aver Stockton, CA 95205	
FROM:	Applicant: City of	Lodi
	Address: 221 W. Pine St., (City, Zip)	P. O. Box 3006, Lodi, CA 95241-1910
	Contact Person: Jerry l Assista	Glenn Phone:209/333-6700 ant City Manager
the Pu Chapte and re \$ 4,92 State	blic Utilities Code, here 1400, Statutes of 197 egulations, that an all 27 for fiscal Transit Assistance trus	suant to Section 99203 and 99315 of ereby requests, in accordance with 71 as amended, and applicable rules location be made in the amount of year 1989-90, to be drawn from the fund of San Joaquin County for the following respective amounts:
P	urposes	Amounts
St	treets and Roads	\$4,927
claima for di used o The claim reason aforem claims	ant are subject to such stribution, and to the only in accordance with laimant certifies that and the financial inable and accurate to the mentioned information	yment by the County Auditor to this monies being on hand and available provisions that such monies will be the terms of the approved claim.  this State Transit Assistance Fund information contained herein, is e best of my knowledge, and that the indicates the eligibility of this is fiscal year of the application and 6734.
		Applicant: CITY OF LODI
APPROV		
	oaquin County Council overnments	Signed: Thor. U. Selson
		Name: Thomas A. Peterson
Ву:	PETER D. VERDOORN	Title: City Manager
		Date: Feb. 2/ 1991
Title:	Executive Director	
Data	19	1

#### PART I - PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION

## Please Circle either: Article 4 operator or Article 8 contractor

#### FINANCIAL INFORMATION

1988-89 Please Circle 1989-90 OPERATING REVENUE ACTUALX DEXXESTEMATEX Budget 9 39,450 39,313 401 Passenger Fares Special Transit Fares 402 403 School Bus Service Revenues 404 Freight Tariffs 405 Charter Service Revenues 406 Auxiliary Transportation Revenues 1,225 113 407 Non-Transportation Revenues Taxes Levied Directly by Transit 408 System (Specify) 409 Local Cash Grants & Reimbursements (Specify) Local Transportation Fund 245,200 201,460 (LTF) 410 Local Special Fare Assistance State Cash Grants & Reimbursements (Specify) State Transit Assistance 6,950 Fund (STA) State Special Fare Assistance 413 Federal Cash Grants & Reimbursements (Specify) UMTA Grants Contributed Services 430 1,600 Sale of Property 440 (Specify) 4 658 Reimbursements TOTAL 255 343 284,626 II. CAPITAL REVENUE Federal Capital Grants & Subsidies 464 (Specify) State Capital Grants & Subventions (Specify) State Transit Assistance Funds (STA) Local Capital Provisions (Specify) 65,000 55,100 Local Transportation Fund (LTF) Non-Governmental Donations Sale of Property 29,759

TOTAL

65,000

84,859

III.	OPERATING EXPENSES	1988-89 Please Circle Actual bXXXESTIWAKEXX	1989-90 Budget (1)
501	Labor Operators Salaries/Wages Other Salaries/Wages		53
502 503	Fringe Benefits Services		32
504	Materials/Supplies Fuels/Lubricants Tires/Tubes		
505 506 507	Other Utilities Casualty/Liability Costs Taxes	49,793.75	51,208
508 509	Purchased Transportation Service Miscellaneous Expenses	210,446.80	224,104 988
510 511	Expense Transfers Interest Expense		
512 513	Leases and Rentals Depreciation/Amortization		
	Operator Funds Grant Funds		
	TOTAL	262,474.78	276,385 (2)
IV.	CAPITAL EXPENSES*		
	Debt Service Land/Property Acquisition		
	Vehicles Construction	30,303.97	84,185
	Other		583
	TOTAL	30,303.97	84,768 (3)

<sup>\*</sup>Allowable capital expenses are limited for Article 8 claimants; see

<sup>99400 (</sup>e).
(1) Reflects actual expenditures.
(2) Revenue exceeds expenditures by \$8,241 - unexpended carry-over will be reclaimed in

<sup>(3)</sup> Revenue exceeds expenditures by \$91 - unexpended carry-over will be reclaimed in FY9

## TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT APPORTIONMENTS

## I. Local Transportation Fund Available Apportionment

A.	Area Apportionment 1989-90	\$ 926,652
в.	Pedestrian/Bicycle Apportionment	19,305
c.	Previous Years' Unclaimed Apportionment	41,933
D.	Unexpended Carryover	790,900
E.	Total Available for 1989-90 Claim(s)	1,778,790
F.	less any LTF Already Claimed 1989-90	-0-
G.	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR THIS CLAIM (Also enter on page 8, 1st column)	\$ 1,778,790

## II. State Transit Assistance Fund Available Apportionment

Α.	Area Apportionment 1989-90	\$
в.	Special Transit Apportionment 1989-90	
c.	Previous Years' Unclaimed Apportionment	4,927
D.	Unexpended Carryover	-0-
Ε.	Total Available for 1989-90 Claim(s)	4,927
F.	less any STA Already Claimed 1989-90	-0-
G.	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR THIS CLAIM (Also enter on page 8, 2nd column)	\$ 4,927

## TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATIONS

Claim Purpose	I. LTF	II. STA
I. PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION		
Article 4 (99260)-Operator	300,300	
Article 8 (99400(c)) Contractor operating		
Article 8 (99400(e)) Contractor capital		
II. PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE		
Article 3 (99234)		N/A
Article 8 (99400(a))	19,305	
III. ROADS AND STREETS		
Article 8 (99400(a))	1,459,185	4,927
IV. OTHER		
Article 8 (99400(b) or 99400(d)		N/A
///////////////////////////////////////	///////////////////////////////////////	///////////////////////////////////////
TOTAL THIS CLAIM	1,778,790	4,927
TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR THIS CLAIM (from page 7, I. and II. G.)	1,778,790	4,927
UNCLAIMED APPORTIONMENT (TOTAL AVAIL. less TOTAL THIS CLAIM)	-0-	-0-

IMPORTANT: To avoid accidental overpayment, please identify in the space below any unexpended carryover included in the amounts being claimed above.

Road and Streets includes \$790,900 of unexpended LTF money.

## OPERATIONAL INFORMATION\*

		Actual FY 1987-88	Actual/Est. FY 1988-89	Proposed (1) FY 1989-90
1.	Patronage	,		
	a. Total Passengers	76,207	73,115	77,605
	b. Revenue Passengers	5,510	4,935	6,928
	c. Youth Passengers			
	d. Elderly Passengers	70,697	65,907	68,610
	County e. Kandicapped Passengers		2,273	2,067
2.	Vehicle Miles			
	a. Total Vehicle Miles	140,069	141,767	156,104
	b. Revenue Vehicle Miles			
3.	Revenue Vehicle Hours	<u> </u>		
4.	Revenue Vehicle Fuel Consumption			
	a. Diesel			
	b. Gasoline	13,819	13,714	14,183
	<ul><li>c. Liquid Natural Compres</li><li>Gas</li></ul>	sed 		
5.	Fare Structure			
	a. Base	.50	.50	.50
	b. Zone			
	c. Youth			
	d. Senior	.50	.50	.50
	e. Handicapped	.50	.50	.50
	f. Monthly Pass			
	g. Other	1.00	1.00	1.00
	h. Average Fare	.54	.54	.52

<sup>\*</sup>Attach additional pages as necessary to alter or complete description (1) Relfects actual performance

-11-

# THREE YEAR FISCAL PLAN

			1990-91		1991-92	1	992-93
Operating Expenses		\$ .	278,640	\$	300,000	\$	330,000
Operating :	Revenues						
Sources:	LTF	\$	229,565	\$	248,475	\$_	275,950
	STA		5,220		5,400		5,600
	Federal					_	
	Fares		43,750		46,000		48,300
	General Fund						
	Other		105		125		150
Total		\$	278,640	, <b>\$</b>	300,000	. \$ _	330,000
Capital Ex	penses	\$	64,500	. \$	18,000	_ \$_	38,000
Capital Re	LTF	\$	64,500	<b>.</b> \$	18,000	_ \$_	38,000
	STA			-			
	Federal			_			
	Other			-			
Total		\$	64,500	_ \$	18,000	_ \$	38,000

## FLEET INVENTORY (Transit Vehicle Owners Only)

## \*\*\*\*\*\*\*(SFF ATTACHED SHEET)\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

*******(SEE ALIACHED SHEEL)										
	Production	# of   Fuel		Seat	Spec	lal	tures			
Make & Model	Year	Veh.	Type	Capacity	AC	EP	WC	Other		
Chev. Impala	1985	1	gas	6	X		t	Station wago		
Chev.Caprice	1985	3	gas	6	X			Station wago		
Chev.Caprice	1986	1	gas	6	Х			Station wago		
Chev. Impala	1982	1	gas	6	X			Station wago		
Dodge Carav	n 1989	2	gas	7	Х			Van		
				į						
TOTAL	XXXXXXXXXXX	8	XXXXXX			<del> </del>	<del>i</del>			
1	1		.	.	_	- \	-			

# Vehicles to be Purchased in FY 1989-90

Van	1		X	Х	X	
Station wagpn .	5		Х			

AC = Air Conditioned

EP = Environmental Package - WC = Wheelchair Lift

Attachment to Page - 13 - of Fleet Inventory Fiscal Year 1989-90 TDA Claim

It is the intent of the City of Lodi to purchase from Dial-A-Ride the two Dodge Caravans. These vehciles are inadequate for our present usage.

We intend to purchase five station wagons in the 1989-90 fiscal year. Two of these will be to replace the vans. Two of the vehciles will be used to replace the oldest and most expensive vehicles. The fifth will be either an addition to the fleet or to replace an existing vehicle.

The handicap van is included in an amendment to the 1988-89 claim.

#### Article 4 Operator TDA Requirements

<ol> <li>Fare Ratio/Local Support Requirement</li> </ol>	l.	Fare	Ratio	/Local	Support	Requirement
--	----	------	-------	--------	---------	-------------

All Article 4 claimants are required to maintain a specified ratio of fare revenue to operating cost. In addition, SMTD only is required to maintain a ratio of fare revenue plus local support to operating cost of 32%. See 99268.2 - 99268.19 for details and exemptions pertaining to ratios.

- A. What is this system's required farebox recovery ratio? 10%
- B. Does the attached budget demonstrate that this system will meet its required farebox recovery and for SMTD its farebox plus local support ratios? yes
- C. Has this system utilized its grace year?
- D. Has this system been in non-compliance with its required ratio(s)? <u>no</u>

If yes, identify the year or years

#### 2. Extension of Service/New Service

An extension of service or new service is exempt from the required farebox and local support ratios if:

- A. The extension of service or new service has been in operation for less than two full fiscal years. The two-year extension of services exclusion applies until two years after the end of the fiscal year in which the extension of services was put into operation.
- B. The claimant submits a report on the extension of services to the COG within 90 days after the end of the fiscal year. (For details of the report, see 6633.8(b)).

Is an extension of service/new service being claimed? \_\_\_\_\_\_

If so, has the required report been submitted for the most recently completed full fiscal year? If not, that report must accompany this claim.

#### Fifteen Percent Expenditure Increase (6632)

If any of the line items on the attached budget exceed by more than 15% the expenditure for that same item in the previous year's budget, then an explanation for that increase must be given below. Attach an extra page if necessary.

The addition to the fleet will require additional expense for insurance.

The purchase of four replacement vehicles necessitates the addition of capital funds.

#### 4. Narrative Description (6632)

Please describe in the space below any changes in service characteristics from the previous fiscal year. This should specifically include any substantial increase or decrease in the geographic area served, major changes to the scope of operations, or addition of major new fixed facilities. Please attach an additional page if necessary.

## SPECIAL NOTES FOR RATIO CALCULATIONS

SMTD - Exclude certain costs and fares as specified in the most recent <u>Compliance Audit Report</u>.

Lodi - Exclude County service when calculating fares and expenses.

### Article 8 Contractor TDA Requirements

For contracted transportation service providers, the San Joaquin County Council of Governments' Executive Board has waived the farebox and local support ratios as it is empowered to do by 99405(c). The COG Board has established a two-step process.

1. Match Requirement

For any Article 8 transit claim, no more than 90% of the total operating funds (minus depreciation) in the budget may be TDA (LTF and STA) derived. The ten percent or more matching funds may come from any other source available to the claimant besides TDA.

2. Operating Cost Per Passenger Objective

To receive an amount of TDA operating funds (LTF and STA combined) in excess of what was claimed the previous fiscal year, the claimant must establish an operating cost per passenger objective for the fiscal year of the claim. The objective should be a realistic one based on current and past system performance, but should be low enough to represent an "improvement" when warranted. The COG Executive Board will adopt the systemwide operating cost per passenger objective for the fiscal year of the claim.

If the system failed to meet its operating cost per passenger objective in the fiscal year prior to the fiscal year of the claim, then the claimant is only eligible to file a claim for the level of TDA operating funding received in that prior fiscal year. In the case of a unified transit system, each claimant would be limited to the prior year's level of TDA operating funding. If a system wishes to be eligible for increased TDA operating funding in a future fiscal year, then the claimant should identify an operating cost per passenger objective.

- i. What was the level of TDA operating funding received in the previous fiscal year for this system by this claimant (LTF plus STA)? \$\_\_\_\_\_\_
- ii. Does the attached budget information demonstrate at least a 10% match of non-TDA funds?
- iii. Is this claim requesting more TDA operating funds than were received for this system by this claimant in the previous fiscal year?
  - iv. If yes, did the system meet its operating cost per passenger objective in the previous fiscal year?

An affirmative answer should be documented in Part v. on the next page.

٧.	Obje	t was last year's Operating Cost ective? Lal operating cost per passenger	_ What was the
	a.	FY 1988-89 Operating Cost	\$
	b.	Total Passengers	
	c.	Operating Cost Per Passenger (a / b)	\$
vi.		t is the Operating Cost per Pas this claim?	senger Objective
	d.	Budgeted Operating Cost	\$
	e.	Estimated Total Passengers	
	f.	Calculated Operating Cost per Passenger (d / e)	\$
	g.	FY 1989-90 OPERATING COST PER PASSENGER OBJECTIVE	\$
	h.	If this claim is for a unified (see footnote), has the contriappraised of the planned systein g. above?	buting claimant beer

3. Fifteen Percent Expenditure Increase (6632)

If any of the line items on the attached budget exceed by more than 15% the expenditure for that same item in the previous year's budget, then an explanation for that increase must be given below. Attach an additional page if necessary.

4. Narrative Description (6632)

Please describe on an attached page any changes in service characteristics from the previous fiscal year. This should specifically include any substantial increase or decrease in the geographic area served, major changes to the scope of operations, or addition of major new fixed facilities.

IMPORTANT: If this claim is for a unified transit system (per definition p. 17a), all calculations and numbers on (2) iv. and (2) v. must include both City and County totals. Also, contributing claimants to unified transit systems should not use this page: use page 17a instead.

In the case of a "unified transit system," this page is to be used by the "contributing claimant" rather than page 17. A "unified transit system" is defined as one which has the same fare structure throughout the service area, but whose TDA expenses are claimed separately by two different TDA claimants. Additionally, to qualify as a unified transit system, all system TDA funding must be claimed under Article 8 (both claimants). "Contributing claimant" is defined as the claimant contributing a minority of the unified transit system's TDA funds. The claimant furnishing the majority of TDA funds is defined as the "primary claimant."

Currently, the following local transit services qualify as unified transit systems:

	FY	1989-90 Unified Transit Systems	This Page	Used by:
		Tracy Trans		County
		Tracy Taxi		County
		Escalon Public Transit System		County
	_			
11.	Τ.	Name of unified transit system		
	2.	Systemwide operating cost per pas 1989-90 identified in primary cla claim (from that claim, page 17,	imant's ad	opted transit
	3.	Date of primary claimant's adopte anticipated future date, if not y		

#### **IMPORTANT:**

The operating cost per passenger objective identified in 3. above will be applied uniformly to the total of City and County TDA funds used by the unified transit system, to determine eligibility for increased TDA funding as explained on page 16. Separate calculations will not be done for City and County.

## PART II - PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE PROJECTS

LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND		7.00 A
Project Title and Description	Project Limits	<u>LTF Cost</u> Total Cost
STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE FUND		STA Cost
Project Title and Description	Project Limits	Total Cost

### PART III - ROAD AND STREET PROJECTS

Please provide the requested information for each project being identified for Transportation Development Act funding.

### LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND

		LTF Cost
roject Title and Description	Project Limits	Total Cost
Administration		3,000
	;	1,454,112 2,049,555
-		
	(Use Additional Page if	Necessari)

(Use Additional Page if Necessary)

### STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE FUND

Project Title and Description	Project Limits	STA Cost Total Cost
	(Use Additional page if	Necessary)

### PART III - ROAD AND STREET PROJECTS

Please provide the requested information for each project being identified for Transportation Development Act funding.

#### LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND

Project Title and Description	Project Limits	<u> LTF Cost</u> Total Cost
Harney Lane Overlay	*Hutchins to 400' w/Stockton	75,000
Lockeford St. Overlay	*SPRR to Cherokee Ln.	115,000
Ham Lane Overlay & Operational Improvements	*Highway 12 to Vine St.	195,000
Pacific Ave. Overlay Walnut & Oak St. Overlay	*Walnut to Elm *Ham to Pacific	95,000
Tokay St. Voerlay	Lower Sacramento to Mills	33,000
Railroad Crossing Improvements	*Loma Dr *Cherokee in. Cluff Ave.	3,000 9,000 12,000
Uverlay Analysis/Design		6,000
Street Master Plan		20,000
Street Maintenance		149,555
*Work in progress		

(Use Additional Page if Necessary)

## STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE FUND

Project Title and Description	Project Limits	<u>STA Cost</u> Total Cost
-		
		·
	(No. Addisons)	

(Use Additional page if Necessary)

### PART III - ROAD AND STREET PROJECTS

Please provide the requested information for each project being identified for Transportation Development Act funding.

#### LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND

Project Title and Description	Project Limits	LTF Cost Total Cost
Traffic Signals (FAU Match) (FAU Match)	*Victor Rd. & Cluff Ave. *Lodi Ave. & Lower Sacramento R *Elm St. & Lower Sacramento Rd. Turner Rd. & Stockton St.	000,000 d. 12,000
Hutchins Street Improvements	*Tokay St. to Lodi Ave. Lodi Ave. to Pine St.	300,000 76,000
Lower Sacramento Rd. Operational Improvements (FAU match)	*St. Mortiz to Turner Rd.	30,000
Pine Street Overlay	*SPRR to Cherokee Lane	223,000
Stockton Street Gverlay	Lodi Ave. to Lockeford St.	176,000
li Ave./Ham Lane Intersection Gverlay		19,000
Lodi Ave. Overlay	Beckman to Kelly	25,000
Lodí Ave. Overlay	Main to Central	152,000
Church St. Gverlay	*Highway 12 to Mendicino	71,500
*Work in progress		

(Use Additional Page if Necessary)

### STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE FUND

Project Title and Description	Project Limits	Total Cost
	(!ica ) dd . =	

(Use Additional page if Necessary)

## PART II - PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE PROJECTS

## LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND

Project Title and Description	Project Limits	LTF Cost Total Cost
Sidewalk Upgrading	Varies	19,305 50,000
	·	
	-	
	:	

## STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE FUND

Project Title and Description	STA Cost Total Cost	•,

### PART IV - OTHER PURPOSES

It is possible that a claimant may wish to expend TDA funds for purposes allowed within the Act, but not covered by the three previous parts. For instance, TDA funds may be claimed under Article 8, 99400(b) to subsidize AMTRAK service in a community, or under 99400(d) for administration and planning costs for Article 8 transit services. To complete this section, please identify the project, the purpose of the project, the estimated cost, and the fund from which money is being claimed. It is advisable to communicate with COG staff before completing this section.